01/14/2020

1

6

7

8 9

10 11

12

13 14

17

21

35

36

37

The meeting was called to order at 6:32 p.m. by Planning Board Vice Chairman Mark
Suennen. Present were Chairman Peter Hogan, regular Board members David Litwinovich and
Ed Carroll and Alternate Board member Amy Sanders.

Also present were Planning Coordinator Shannon Silver, Planning Board Assistant Nadine Scholes and Planning Consultant Mark Fougere.

Absent was Ex-Officio Joe Constance.

Present in the audience for all or part of the meeting were Barbara Thompson, Robert Kilmer, Earl Sandford and Louis Nixon.

Public Hearing on Proposed Revised Subdivision Regulations <u>SEE SEPARATE PUBLIC NOTICE</u>

Mark Suennen noted that the Planning Department had some suggested changes. The
 Planning Coordinator, Shannon Silver noted that the Planning Board Assistant, Nadine Scholes
 had reviewed the document and had some items to discuss.

Nadine Scholes noted that a few of the section headers had disappeared and she would add those back. She continued to page 6 and noted she thought at one point that Amy Sanders had recommended adding the reference to the RSA to the definition for 'Engineer'. Amy Sanders said she only had an issue with the word 'he' in the definition and actually preferred to include a definition rather than a reference to the RSA. The Board decided to keep the definition as written for 'Engineer'.

Nadine Scholes suggested the following language for the definition of a major subdivi sion to keep consistent with the definition of a minor subdivision. Mark Suennen agreed with
 the suggested definition as follows:

- 3233 'A major subdivision shall be:
- 34 (1) A division of land into four (4) or more lots, and/or;
 - (2) A division of land requiring a new road, and/or;
 - (3) A division of land with potential for further subdivision.'

38 Nadine Scholes noted on page 9, under Section 4.05 Abutter Notification, 'the abutters' 39 is capitalized but 'the applicant' is not in the same sentence. Mark Fougere noted that they 40 should be capitalized, but Nadine Scholes explained that this was the only place where the ap-41 plicant and/or abutters would be capitalized throughout the entire document. 42

43 Nadine Scholes noted on page 12, under Section 5.05, Formal Review, it indicates the
 44 Application checklists are located in the Appendix, which currently none of the application

01/14/2020

1 **Public Hearing on Proposed Revised Subdivision Regulations, cont.**

forms are included in the appendix. Mark Fougere noted that the checklist and application
would need to be updated to reference the new sections once the Board adopts the revised regulations. Mark Suennen asked who would be responsible to update those documents. Mark
Fougere said he could update the application documents.

8 Nadine Scholes noted on page 13, for some reason the footnote number doubled in the9 footer.

10

Nadine Scholes noted on page 18, there is a reference to an old section that is underlined
but believed that should be marked to be deleted and struck out instead of underlined. The
Board agreed.

14

Nadine Scholes noted on page 20, the title changed for Section 5.11 from 'Approval /
Conditional Approval' to 'Approval and Conditional Approval'. Mark Suennen preferred 'Approval and Conditional Approval'. The Board agreed.

19 Nadine Scholes noted on page 21, Section 5.13, Subdivision Extension and Expiration 20 of Approval, could be changed to 'Subdivision Expiration of Approval' because extensions are 21 not granted for subdivision approval. The Board agreed. Then, item A. indicates that the appli-22 cant has to 'submit the required bonding', and should be deleted because bonding would not be 23 part of the complete active and substantial improvements, that would be part of the subdivision 24 conditions precedent or conditions subsequent. The Board agreed. Then item B. continues to 25 note that there is an extension period and Mark Suennen suggested revising item B, as follows: 26 'If the active and substantial development or building is not accomplished within two (2) years 27 of the subdivision approval,'

28

Nadine Scholes recommended completely deleting item C, from Section 5.13, as follows: 'C. Extensions of approval must be granted at a public hearing but do not require abutter
notification.' The Board agreed.

32

Nadine Scholes noted on page 23, 3., the last sentence should have been deleted as follows 'This shall also apply to backlots, which shall have fifty feet (50') of said frontage,' and 4. as follows: 'Center lines of parallel two way streets shall not be closer than three hundred and fifty feet (350') to each other.' is struck out to be deleted and she did not believe that this should be deleted. David Litwinovich noted that he had questioned this but did not remember asking the item 4. to be removed. The Board agreed to keep item 4 in its entirety.

39

Nadine Scholes noted that the Fire Fighting Water Supply, Section 6.02, on page 25,
proposed language reviewed by the Town Attorney was different than what is included in the
revised regulations. First, would be the description/definition for an Existing road. The Board
preferred the way it was written in the revised regulations. Then there are a few references to
'sub-section below' which should be changed to 'Appendix'. The Board agreed.

01/14/2020

1

2 3

4

5

6

10

Public Hearing on Proposed Revised Subdivision Regulations, cont.

Mark Suennen recommended the following for item d. on page 25, 'If a sprinkler system is proposed, it shall comply with the requirements set forth in the Town of New Boston Building Code, Chapter NB-6.0 'and' comply with the requirements set forth in the Appendix.'

Nadine Scholes noted on page 25, B., 1., c., indicated Class 6 road but State DOT uses
the Roman numeral 'VI' for Class of roads. The Board was satisfied to keep using the Latin
numbers to indicate the Class of roads.

11 Nadine Scholes noted on page 26, C. had OR at the beginning in the proposed language 12 but was removed in the regulations. The Board discussed and agreed to add the word or before 13 the sentence as follows: '*Or*, an alternative firefighting water supply system (Cisterns, sprin-14 klers) may be presented by the applicant; this alternative is subject to approval.'

Nadine Scholes noted on page 26, E. and F. both were at the beginning of the proposed
FFWSS language and found that these statements make more sense before the identification of
existing and new roads. The Board agreed to move both E. and F. to the beginning of the
FFWSS section.

Nadine Scholes noted on page 28, the Fiscal Impact Analysis did not include the statement 'The fiscal impact analysis shall include the following:' and thought it should be added to be consistent with both of the other analysis's in this section. Mark Suennen also requested changing the time frame required for fiscal impact analysis from six to seven years. The Board agreed with changing both items.

Nadine Scholes noted that the reference to both Section VIII and Article VIII were used
through pages 35-43 and she believed it should be one or the other. The Board agreed to keep
consistent and preferred Article VIII as it was an Article and not a Section within the Article.

Nadine Scholes noted on page 38, Test Pit Depth referenced the old Section V-V. The
 Board decided that the State sets the standards and this section except for the first paragraph
 could be deleted.

34

26

Nadine Scholes read on page 42, '8. No paving shall be permitted between the period of
 November 1st and April 15th, annually, without written permission of the <u>Town Engineer/NH</u>
 <u>DOT Regulations</u> Road Agent. She did not find this made sense. The Board discussed and re vised to 'without written permission of the Town Engineer and/or Road Agent.'

Nadine Scholes suggested the Board review the Appendix before the next hearing be cause there are references to old sections and specifications that may have changed and should
 be updated. The Board agreed that the Appendix should be reviewed for any required changes
 before adopting the new regulations.

44

	1/14/2020 ublic Hearing on Proposed Revised Subdivision Regulations, cont.
	Mark Suennen noted that he had some suggestions.
'S	Section 5.09.01, item h. does not have a title and he recommended 'Site Layout', item i Sanitation', and item t. 'Floodplain'. The Board agreed.
T	Section 6.05, c.1. if one or the other, remove and then list items as a. and b. options. he same for C. 2.
la	The Board agreed to review the final properly formatted draft before adopting the regu- tions.
	The Board opened for public comment.
m	Earl Sandford recommended the Board reconsider the criteria for a subdivision being a ajor if there is potential for further subdivision.
	Earl Sandford and Louis Nixon confirmed that the new regulations would require a fire ghting water supply for every new lot created. Earl Sandford expressed that New Boston wa e only town that required cisterns.
to	The Board explained that there had been several years of discussions to come up with ese new proposed regulations and the Fire Wards had proposed the language and regulations assist the dry areas of town. There are options that the applicant could proposed for lots sub vided on an existing road but yes all new roads would require a cistern be installed.
iz	The Board closed the public hearing and agreed to continue the Public Hearing to final e reviewing the proposed regulations.
	Mark Suennen MOVED to table the Public Hearing to February 11, 2020, at 6:30 p.m. David Litwinovich seconded the motion and it PASSED unanimously.
F	/ALTER H. HOUGHTON, TRUSTEE (OWNER) RANK WHIPPLE (APPLICANT)
Sı	ANDFORD SURVEYING & ENGINEERING, INC. (AGENT) <u>abmission of Application/Public Hearing/Minor Subdivision/3 Lots</u> pocation: Weare Road (NH Route 77)
	ax Map/Lot #5/32 esidential-Agricultural "R-A" District

	01/14/2020				
1	WALTER H. HOUGHTON, TRUSTEE (OWNER), cont.				
2	FRANK WHIPPLE (APPLICANT)				
3	SANDFORD SURVEYING & ENGINEERING, INC. (AGENT)				
4					
5	Robert Kilmer presented the proposed subdivision of Tax Map/Lot #5/32. The 19.22				
6	acre lot would be subdivided into 3 lots, creating 2 new building lots. The existing house and				
7	two out buildings would be left with a total of 2.1 acres. Then Lot #5/32-1 would consist of a				
8	total of 15 acres and the third Lot #5/32-2 would be a total of 2.1 acres. The lot currently has a				
9	total frontage of 694' along Weare Road (NH Route 77).				
10					
11	Robert Kilmer explained that the lot is mostly wooded and has a wetland running				
12	through dissecting the lot in half. The development on both the new lots do not propose any				
13	disturbance to the wetlands but would be accessed through steep slopes and ISWMPs were sub-				
14	mitted for both lots. Lot #5/32-1 has a suitable building area towards the front of the lot and an-				
15	other suitable building area towards the rear of the lot.				
16					
17	Mark Suennen asked how the existing woods road shown on the plan goes through the				
18	wetland. Robert Kilmer and Louis Nixon noted that there is an existing old stone farmers cul-				
19	vert that crosses over the wetland.				
20					
21	Robert Kilmer explained that the ISWMPs show the possible development area towards				
22	the front of Lot #5/32-1 but the plan would need to be revised if development was desired in the				
23	rear of the lot.				
24					
25	Mark Fougere asked if the State culverts shown flow towards the lot. Robert Kilmer re-				
26	plied that the culverts flow towards the lot.				
27					
28	Mark Suennen MOVED that the proposed subdivision would not				
29	have Regional Impact. David Litwinovich seconded the motion				
30	and it PASSED unanimously.				
31					
32	Mark Suennen asked what the status on the State Driveway and Subdivision Permit ap-				
33	plications was. Robert Kilmer said they have submitted the driveway applications and those				
34	were pending a response and once the NH DES website is available, the State Subdivision ap-				
35	plication would be submitted.				
36					
37	The Board decided to conduct a site walk and that the requested waivers could be re-				
38	viewed after the site walk.				
39 40	The Decard scheduled the site wells for Seturday, January 18, 2020, at 7:20 a m				
40	The Board scheduled the site walk for Saturday, January 18, 2020, at 7:30 a.m.				
41	Mark Summon asked for the two healdes compare to be flagged before the site result				
42 43	Mark Suennen asked for the two backlot corners to be flagged before the site walk.				
43 44	Robert Kilmer replied he would flag the lots before the site walk and the driveways are already marked.				
44					

1	01/14/ WAL	2020 FER H. HOUGHTON, TRUSTEE (OWNER), cont.							
2	FRANK WHIPPLE (APPLICANT)								
3	SAND	SANDFORD SURVEYING & ENGINEERING, INC. (AGENT)							
4									
5		Amy Sanders asked if the Board should decide if the Drainage Report should be submit-							
6		ted to the Town Engineer now to review. Mark Suennen said yes, the Town Engineer should be							
7	sent th	sent the Drainage Report and the ISWMPs now to review.							
8 9		Mark Sugnan MOVED to accort the application as complete							
9 10	Mark Suennen MOVED to accept the application as complete, subject to reviewing the requested waivers after the site walk.								
10		David Litwinovich seconded the motion and it PASSED unani-							
12		mously.							
12		mousry.							
14		The Planning Coordinator, Shannon Silver said the hearing would be adjourned to 6:30							
15	n.m. o	n January 28, 2020, because there is another hearing that had been previously adjourned							
16	-	7:00 p.m., on January 28, 2020.							
17	•••								
18		Mark Suennen MOVED to adjourn the hearing to January 28, 2020,							
19		at 6:30 p.m. David Litwinovich seconded the motion and it							
20		PASSED unanimously.							
21									
22									
23		llaneous Business and correspondence for the meeting of January 14, 2020, includ-							
	ing, bı	it not limited to:							
	1.								
		uted by email)							
		6							
		and it PASSED .							
	r	Distribution of the December 10, 2010, mosting minutes, for approval at the January 29							
	Ζ.								
		2020, meeting, with or without changes. (distributed by email)							
	3	Invoices dated December 10, 2019 & December 27, 2019, from Northpoint Engineering							
	5.								
		That Submization, for the Board's miormation.							
	4.	Invoice dated December 10, 2019, with attached page 2. Description of services pro-							
41									
42		Board's information.							
43									
44									
$ 19 \\ 20 \\ 21 \\ 22 \\ 23 \\ 24 \\ 25 \\ 26 \\ 27 \\ 28 \\ 29 \\ 30 \\ 31 \\ 32 \\ 33 \\ 34 \\ 35 \\ 36 \\ 37 \\ 38 \\ 39 \\ 40 \\ 41 \\ 42 \\ 43 $	ing, b 1. 2.	 at 6:30 p.m. David Litwinovich seconded the motion and it PASSED unanimously. Ilaneous Business and correspondence for the meeting of January 14, 2020, includ- nt not limited to: Approval of the November 26, 2019, meeting minutes, with or without changes. (distributed by email) Mark Suennen MOVED to approve the November 26, 2019, meeting minutes as amended. Ed Carroll seconded the motion and it PASSED. Distribution of the December 10, 2019, meeting minutes, for approval at the January 28 2020, meeting, with or without changes. (distributed by email) Invoices dated December 10, 2019 & December 27, 2019, from Northpoint Engineering LLC, for Deyo Subdivision (Christie Road), review of Revised Stormwater Managemer Final Stabilization, for the Board's information. Invoice dated December 10, 2019, with attached page 2, Description of services pro- vided, from Northpoint Engineering, LLC, for Forest View II, Phase 2 review, for the 							

01/14/2020

	01/14/	01/14/2020						
1	Miscellaneous Business and correspondence, cont.							
2								
3	5a.	Letters dated January 6, 2020 & January 13, 2020, from Ke						
4 5		point Engineering, to the Planning Coordinator, Shannon S Christie Road - As-built Drainage Technical Review, for th						
5 6		Christie Road - As-built Dramage Technical Review, for th	e Board's information.					
7	5b.	Letter dated January 10, 2020, from Earl Sandford, P.E., Sa	andford Surveying and Engi-					
8		neering, Inc., response to letter dated January 6, 2020, from Kevin Leonard, P.E., North-						
9		point Engineering, re: Deyo Subdivision - Christie Road - A	As-built Drainage Technical					
10		Review, for the Board's information.						
11	_							
12	5c.	Drainage Report Addendum, revised January 10, 2020, pre						
13		Sandford Surveying and Engineering, Inc., re: Deyo Subdiv	vision - Christie Road, for the					
14 15		Board's information. (copy available at meeting)						
15 16		The Board noted that there would need to be between 5' at	ad 1' of material added to the					
10	berms.	The Board noted that there would need to be between .5' and 1' of material added to the berms. Earl Sandford agreed.						
18	000000							
19		The Board agreed that the changes recommended by the To	own Engineer should be im-					
20	plemented. The Planning Coordinator, Shannon Silver confirmed that once the items are com-							
21	plete, Earl Sandford would need to supply the final adherence statement. Earl Sandford agreed							
22	and noted that the security bonds will stay in place until the work is complete and stabilized.							
23								
24 25		David Litwinovich MOVED to adjourn the meeting	r at 7.51					
23 26	David Litwinovich MOVED to adjourn the meeting at 7:51 p.m. Ed Carroll seconded the motion and it PASSED unani-							
20 27		mously.						
28								
29								
30		ctfully submitted,	Minutes Approved: 02/11/20					
31	Nadine Scholes, Planning Board Assistant							